THERE NOTECTION	
Star Martin	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



# COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D		(CI)
AIRS ID#: 0090032 DA	TE: <u>01/19/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:25</u>		DEPART: <u>12:00</u>
FACILITY NAME: CA	NAVERAL READY-MIX PLA	ANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 209 GEORGE KING B	SLVD		
	CAPE CANAVERAL	32920-		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Si Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO			Mobile: PHONE:	(407)841-8409 (407)312-7119 (407)841-8409 (407)312-7119

## **Facility Section**

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>		only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO?	Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	□No □No

## **Emissions Unit Section**

1 – CCB Plant-split silo(cemen	t)comp #1 w/silotop baghouse sub	bject to Reasonable Precautions

<u>1 – CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #1 w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection:</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	Yes No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unce emissions by:</li> </ol>	onfined			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	to			
<ul> <li>particulate matter?</li></ul>	t of			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes No Yes No			

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

#### **Emissions Unit Section**

2 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/two fabric filter bags subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection:</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each o	question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontemissions by:	fined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter?		🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?	Ves	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

# **Emissions Unit Section**

3 - CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp #2 w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions
--

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
1. Date of last inspection:		· -
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? $\Box$ N/A	Yes	🗌 No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
control emissions?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🗌 Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		D No No

# **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMI	<u>T ELIGIBILITY</u>		
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combina	it does not have the potential to emit: as air pollutant? tion of hazardous air pollutants? gulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
units and activities that are exempt from pe	red by the applicable air general permit (with the exception or mitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	of Yes	🗌 No
	zed by another air general permit where such other air genera allow the use of one another at the same facility? ts or activities?	l Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> </ul>	uel usage of all plants less than or equal to: gas? iple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	No     No     No     No     No     No     No     No
<u>gal diesel/yr</u> + <u>gal gasoline</u> 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline			)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for each consecutive 12-period for the past	ble for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump 5 years?	tion Yes	🗌 No

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	🗌 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	No No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_	_
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	No

<b>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</b> 1. Is the facility: stationary : relocatable ; or consisting of both station	(check ☑ only box for each quest	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only		
<ol> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ol>	Yes	No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification</li> </ul>	to changing location? Yes	No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business da c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five busin	Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	No No
<ol> <li>If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air con and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose</li> </ol>	nstruction or air operation permit, at separate permit:	No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes Yes Yes	No No
CHANGES	(check ☑ only box for each quest	
<ol> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of th operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administra</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul></li></ol>	he facility or any emissions units or         trive change at the facility?       Yes         e change?       Yes         Yes       Yes         Hy different?       Yes         Yes       Yes	No No No No No
<ul> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration for 30 days prior to the change?</li> </ul>	rm and the appropriate fee submitted	No
John Vigliotti	1/19/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	N/A	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> The facility was found to be inactive. A call has been mad	le to Mr. Sigurd Bo, the authorized representative	to have